

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/774,630 | MIZUTANI ET AL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | NATHAN HILLERY | 2176 | |

All Participants:

(1) NATHAN HILLERY

(2) John Bednarz

Date of Interview: 7 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

16-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Nielsen, Ryan, and Heckerman et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Advised applicant that in light of the persuasive arguments presented with the amendment of 9/14/09, claims 1 - 15 are allowable. However, claims 16 - 19 are not allowable. Thus, applicant was advised to cancel those claims and applicant agreed to do so at this time.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)